Properties and mechanisms of sensory enhancement
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Enacted theories of consciousness conjecture that perception and cognition arise from an active experience of the regular relations that tie together sensory stimulation and associated motor actions [1,2]. By employing the technique of sensory substitution [3] and sensory augmentation [4] previous experiments explored this assumption. In the latter study the sensory augmentation device delivered global orientation information by mapping directional information of a compass to a set of vibrators, activating the element pointing north [4]. Here we use it to investigate the impact of newly supplied directional signals on cortical plasticity, sensory processing and spatial cognition.

The training belts consisted of 30 piezoelectric, vibrotactile actuators, a 3DM GX3 compass, a control-box and battery packs. They were to be worn by the subjects during all waking time. A dedicated MRI-compatible belt was based on identical piezoelectric vibrators. Ten subjects (age 19-32y, four female, one control) were wearing the belt during all waking hours over a period of six weeks. We compared belt-on and belt-off conditions in a series of measurements including homing, multimodal integration, nystagmography, sleep-EEG, fMRI, and subjective methods before, during and after training.
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In the homing task using on polygons of varying complexity we observe a slight reduction of the systematic error and a larger reduction of the stochastic error in belt-on condition after the training period. (2) Integration of the newly supplied signals with visual information in a psychophysical task was rather limited and just noticeable differences of rotation (yaw) were surprisingly high in belt-off as well as in belt-on condition. (3) Nystagmography demonstrated an increase of the time constant of per-rotatory nystagmus (slow phase) after training in the belt-on condition as compared to the belt-off condition indicating a firm integration in sensory processing. (4) Sleep-EEG uncovered an increase of REM-sleep during the early training phase. In contrast, no such change is observed in stage 3 sleep. (5) Most areas that were reported in a previous fMRI study on navigation [5] could be replicated in all our subjects. Furthermore, we observe widespread cortical activation in belt-on condition after training as compared to the pre-training baseline. (6) Subjective reports indicate that by training with the feel-space belt the scope of perceived space grows wider and includes areas that are not within reach or directly visible; subjects feel more secure in known as well as previously unknown environments; and navigational abilities improve and emphasize an egocentric reference frame.

The data provide evidence for an integration of the newly supplied signals in sensory integration (homing, nystagmography), cortical processing (sleep-EEG, fMRI) and spatial cognition (subjective methods). However, further analysis is needed to elucidate significant individual variations.